# JITTA

JOURNAL OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY THEORY AND APPLICATION

# **EXECUTIVE MODES OF INFORMING – AN EMPIRICAL STUDY**

#### HEATH COLEBATCH, University of South Australia

School of Accounting and Information Systems, Email: <u>heath.colebatch@michell.com.au</u>

### SAM HORROCKS, University of South Australia

School of Accounting and Information Systems, Email: sam.horrocks@unisa.edu.au

#### JEFF SMITH, University of South Australia

School of Accounting and Information Systems, Email: Jeff.smith@unisa.edu.au

# ABSTRACT

This research studied the day-to-day habits of six executives at SA Ambulance Service. The researchers were concerned how they kept themselves informed in order to perform their work role. The study found that it is not the formal information produced by the organizations computer-based information system that played the dominant role in the managerial task, but rather it is the informal information that emerged through social communicative actions. These findings provide significant support for Preston's (1986:1991) findings and have important implications for designing management information systems. The study highlights the importance to design in dialogue, interaction, observation and socialisation into any information support system.

In essence MIS has become premised with the construction of the official order. However, in doing so MIS has ignored the true nature of managerial information which is interwoven with the social order in organizations. As such MIS had failed to understand the informing process of 'real' managers in the organizational context (Preston, 1986:1991, Boland, 1979: 1986: 1987, and Davis et al, 1992).

The initial subject of this research project was proposed by the SA Ambulance Service (SAAS), an independently-run, commercialized organization that provides paramedic, advanced life support and patient transport to the South Australian community. That proposal involved a broad investigation into Information Management and recommendations for its applications to SAAS. SAAS was concerned with the direction both it and the larger body of government was taking

Heath, C., Horrocks S., and Jeff Smith, "Executive Modes of Informing – An Empirical Study", *The Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA)*, 3:3, 2001, 21-32.

towards the management of its information. In particular the orientation towards technology and the bureaucratic form.

Historically MIS is premised on the development design and of formal. bureaucratic, calculation-based information systems, and concentrates on the capture, processing and storage of explicit knowledge (knowledge which can be "written" down) through the development and use of primarily calculative systems. The communicative needs of managers is secondary. Consequently, many academics and practitioners lack an understanding of the true nature of how managers use and acquire information in the organizational context.

The current interest in knowledge management systems raises the question of how managers can best utilise electronic systems to "inform" themselves. For SAAS, this question was quite significant as they did not wish to invest heavily in formal knowledge management systems until they had a clearer understanding of how best to develop these systems (if at all) to complement or improve on their existing organizational specific management practices.

As the problem of "how best we can utilise information systems to aid managers "inform" themselves" was ill defined it was decided to undertake research through an interpretive case study, into the current information management practices at SAAS. To guide early data collection, analysis and literature research an initial research focus was developed based on an ethnographic study conducted by Preston (1986:1991). Preston's study reported on "how manager's inform themselves'.

Preston's study emphasized the importance of interaction and observation as the dominant "mode of informing" employed by the managers in the organization he studied. These results could have quite a significant impact on the design and use of any systems developed to "manage" information and knowledge within an organization. A system which supports a manager's preferred mode of informing might have a greater likelihood of success and continued use. Ten years on, whilst we see considerable change in the technology, techniques and methods used in IS development we still focus on data oriented systems rather than communicative action oriented systems. There was a need for more research to confirm whether the technology was aligned with mangers information needs.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Background. Central to the concept of MIS is the role of the manager. One view in the management literature is that the manager performs environmental scans, monitors business activities, shares information and interacts with others (Mitzberg, 1972:1994, Preston, 1986 and Duffy & Assad, 1980). Mitzberg (1972) argued that the manager is an information processor and a central nerve centre in the business unit. However this view of the manager pictures managerial work as planning, organising, staffing, directing, coordinating, reporting and budgeting (Mitzberg, 1972). In 1994, Mitzberg argued that the manager is not just an information processor. He/she does not just manage by information. The manager is also a leader, motivator and plays an active role in completing the business unit's activities (Mitzberg, 1994).

The study of managerial information has also greatly influenced MIS. However rather than foundations in managerial behaviour, MIS has grown from predominantly functionalist disciplines such as operations research, mathematics, statistics, economics, computer science and cybernetics (Preston, 1991, McKenney, Mason & Copeland, 1997 and Duffy & Assad, 1980). As a result MIS has adopted a technological-imperative view and has pictured IT as a defining social and created functionalist. element а deterministic and rationalist view of humans. organizations and information (Boland, 1979:1986:1987, Preston, 1986:1991, and Lewis, 1991). These views have guided our beliefs to a point where MIS is premised with the design and development of formal, bureaucratic, computer-based information systems. As a result MIS has become premised with the construction of the official order and failed to understand the way 'real' managers in 'real' organizations become informed (Preston, 1991). In particular the importance of the



communicative act to inform has not been fully incorporated into IS design.

The traditional view of information systems in the MIS literature, is that they process data into some form (information) which is valuable or useful for decisionmaking (Stair & Reynolds, 1998, Curtis, 1989, Silver & Silver, 1989, and Taylor & Farrell, 1995). Thus information is considered useful if it helps management choose a better solution (Lewis, 1991, Boland, 1987, and Weber, 1997). In essence Boland (1986:1987) claimed that MIS has an imagery of information as structured data, as a source of power, as intelligence and as perfectible. Whilst this is a pragmatic view for the technocrats, this definition does not give the concept of information substance. This image of information undermines the possibility of taking the social environment seriously and denies the fundamental process of dialogue, interaction and socialisation (Boland, 1987) to create and share information.

Sense-making. А more useful understanding of information is provided by Weick (1979). He argued that organizational actors make sense of the world in order to develop a shared interpretation that can serve organizational action. Weick (1979) claimed that organizational participants go through a process of ecological change, enactment, selection and retention (Choo. 1996). Ecological change involves monitoring the environment for changes and determining the significance of these changes. Enactment involves breaking the environment down into manageable parts and deciding which parts of the environment to enact. Through enactment the actor can identify raw data that will subsequently be turned into meaning and action. During Selection the organizational actor applies various plausible relationships to the raw data in an attempt to reduce its equivocality. Finally the retention process retains this for future use (Choo, 1996).

According to the sense-making view objective reality, there is no rather organizational reality is seen to be socially constructed by its participating individuals 1991 (Preston, and Choo. 1996). Organizational actors are not rational

لاستشارات

processors of information. They impose their own meaning and experience, and use the ascribed meaning as a basis for understanding and action (Choo, 1996, Preston, 1991, Boland, 1979 and Daft & Lengel, 1986).

Nonaka & Takeuchi (1995) support this view of information when talking about knowledge creation. They argue that organizations seek out information to create knowledge. So knowledge is created through the synergistic relationship between tacit and explicit knowledge. Tacit knowledge is the internalized knowledge that is hard to formalize, whereas explicit knowledge is the formal knowledge that is easy to transmit between individuals and groups (Choo, 1996). With this view organizations go through a process of acquiring knowledge through shared experience, converting tacit knowledge into explicit knowledge, bringing together explicit knowledge from many sources and converting explicit knowledge back into tacit knowledge (Choo, 1996), i.e. knowledge is created by a communicative act.

The 'official order' vs. the 'social order'. The formal information that is produced by the old view of information tends to produce information that is historical, factual and standardized, rather than the timely, dynamic and trigger information that 'real' managers need (Preston, 1986:1991, Mitzberg, 1972 and Bartlett & Ghoshal, 1995). Preston (1986:1991) proposed the question, 'if managers do not just use the official documented system, what information do they use'.

Thus the emergent focus in Preston's (1986:1991) study became the process by which managers inform themselves and others. He described this process as 'getting the full story', 'getting gened up' or 'finding out what the hell is going on', and defined this as the 'process of informing'. Preston (1986:1991) argued that the 'process of informing' was more holistic than often presented by mainstream MIS literature. The 'process of informing' is process orientated rather than structured, it encompasses the 'social order' and the 'official order', and is dynamic rather than static. Preston (1991) found that the factory managers employed a number of

mechanisms to inform themselves and one another. He termed these as 'modes of informing' and defined them in order of importance as 'interactions', 'observations', 'personal records', 'meetings' and the 'CBIS' (Preston, 1991). Preston (1986) found that managers made arrangements to inform each other through interaction and meetings, and arrangements to inform themselves through observation, personal records and the CBIS. Rather than operating as single, stand alone, structured systems these 'modes of informing' provided managers with multiple and sometimes contradictory points of view (Preston, 1986). He called for MIS to better recognize this in their design.

# **RESEARCH FOCUS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

The aim of this study is to build on the work conducted by Preston (1986:1991). Whilst Preston's (1986:1991) study made a significant contribution to MIS research its findings are based on the study of a single research context at a point in time. Thus there is a need for further research into the 'process of informing' as experienced in other research contexts. Preston's (1986:1991) findings also focused on the nature of managerial problems (Preston, 1991) and the construction of the social networks (Preston, 1986). The research context of this study differs from the research context used by Preston (1986:1991) in a number of ways. Preston studied middle line operational managers in a large manufacturing organization in the United States during the late 1980s. This is a study of Strategic Executives in a medical service organization in Australia in the late 1990s.

Employing over 600 paid staff, and 1300 volunteers based throughout regional South Australia, SAAS is geographically dispersed with 18 metropolitan and 86 country stations. Since 1992, SAAS has moved from a militaristic-style management structure towards an empowered, team-based structure. There are three broad types of formal information systems within SAAS: data systems (transaction and resource allocation systems), management reporting systems (finance, ambulance cover, operational and relationship indicators) and corporate information repositories (the LAN, the intranet and the "Whole of Government" records management system). (Colebatch, 1999).

Research Design. The methodological approach used was an interpretive, in-depth case study supported by observation and interviews. In recent years interpretivist research has emerged in information systems with key contributions from Boland (1979, 1985), Checkland (1981), Zuboff (1988) and Orlikowski (1991, 1992) (Cited in Walsham, 1995b). Walsham (1993, pp 4-5) stated that methods interpretive of research in Information Systems are 'aimed at producing an understanding of the context of the information system, and the process whereby the information system influences and is influenced by its context.' The interpretivist approach allows researchers to understand information systems in their organizational context and gain deep insight into information systems phenomena (Preston, 1991, Klein & Myers, 1999 and Walsham, 1993:1995a).

The participants in this study were the six executives at SAAA and were all white ages, anglo-saxon males with varying education and experience. Semi-structured interviews were used to gather data with observation being used as complementary evidence. A conscious effort was made to check respondent's comments with what actually occurred. It is believed that they had no reason to mislead the researchers and that simply asking for their perceptions after years of experience was better than attempting any observer-independent observations. The respondents were given opportunity to comment on the researcher's summary of their responses.

**Research process.** The first round of data collection involved approximately four weeks of participant interviews and observation. The interviews allowed the researcher to gain deep insight and a broad understanding of the informing process as experienced by the executives (Fontana & Frey, 1998 & Neuman, 1997), develop an understanding of the research setting; and to establish a rapport with the executives.



Informant interviews were used to obtain insight into the organization's social and cultural environment and to provide a source of complementary evidence. Informants were selected for their knowledge of the organization's culture; because they were representative of the different functions; or had a close working relationship with the executives in this study.

The researcher's role as a participant in the organization can be characterised as an 'observer as a participant' and as an 'acceptable incompetent' (Neuman, 1997). The researcher was a university researcher who was undertaking both a research study and producing a consulting report on behalf of SAAS over a seven month period. The researcher worked as an observer at both an ambulance station and the communications and dispatch centre, attended internal meetings and casually observed the executives' behaviour in their natural work environment. Observation was conduced to provide complementary evidence as it draws the researcher the phenomenological to complexity of the world, where connections, correlations, and causes can be witnessed and documented as they unfold (Alder & Alder, 1998). Observation also allowed the researcher to seek out contradictions in the interview data.

The transcribed interviews and observational data were then analyzed using grounded theory techniques. A constant comparative analysis (Glaser, 1992 and Strauss & Corbin, 1994) was undertaken and through this iterative process a series of substantive and theoretical categories emerged from the data. All the raw data was then coded against the emergent themes to seek out any irregularities - axial coding (Glaser, 1992, Strauss, 1987, Strauss & Glaser, 1967, Hughes & Howcroft, 1999). From this emerged a series of sub-categories termed the 'modes of informing'. (refer Diagram 1). These modes of informing were presented to the executives for participant validation to ensure they intuitively reflected participant reality. (Neuman, 1997). A second round of data collection was undertaken, all the data merged and the iterative coding and validation process repeated.

2 للاستشارات

Finally, the findings were compared and contrasted against relevant literature to see how this interpretation related to other studies.

#### RESULTS

The core category that emerged in this study is referred to as the 'process of 'process informing'. Although the of informing' was the main research focus, the researcher attempted to allow the core category to emerge, rather than forcing a preconceived category onto the data. The process of informing as discussed by Preston (1986) became a useful way of understanding the broad spectrum of mechanisms used by the executives to become informed and was referred to by the executives variously as 'keeping on top with what is happening', 'making sure they know what the hell is going on' and 'keeping their finger on the pulse'.

This informing process contributed to the collection of knowledge, understanding, facts, information and wisdom that formed the executives' overall understanding of their environment. In contrast to the traditional view in MIS literature, the process of informing was not limited to the decision-making context, nor was decision-making an explicitly rational act. Rather it was related to sense-making, learning and socialisation processes. Executives were not guided by a formal decision-making process, rather it was understanding and meaning that guided their actions. Understanding was not formed through the processing of formal data and information. Rather it was predominantly formed through interactions and observation. Indeed, whilst seven sub-categories (or modes of informing) were identified in this study, interaction and emphasised observation were bv the executives as the most important of these.

Preston (1991) found that the 'modes of informing' used by the managers in his study included interactions, observations, personal records, meetings and the computer-based production system. In another study, McKinnon and Bruns (1993) found that informing mechanisms included interaction, internally generated reports, personal spreadsheets, observation and personal or supervised collection of externally generated environment or economic information. In contrast, this study found that the informing mechanisms used by the executives at SAAS are similar, but somewhat different to the managers in Preston's (1991) and McKinnon and Brun's (1993) studies. The executives at SAAS used seven 'modes of informing' which were characterized as 'interaction', 'observation', 'management reporting systems', 'decision support systems', 'externally prepared general 'personal information'. information repositories' and 'corporate information repositories.'.

In the following, the words of the mangers that typify these sub-categories are presented (aliases are used). Some comment is added. Each of these informing mechanisms provided the executives with contrasting and complementary perspectives on a particular business issue or phenomena.

James: 'You need to form a picture rather then just one interpretation of it. Whether that be by reports or talking to someone. I have all the indicators to say objectively whether we meet our response times but that is only half the picture. You need to get other people's interpretation of the issue.'

Interaction - Official, unofficial and emergent networks. Peter: 'I find personal interaction to be very important. A call center operator may not need that personal interaction, maybe they do. Maybe they need to know and feel the culture of the organization to effectively communicate with clients... David who is the country director may say something different because distance has forced him to do most of his networking by fax, e-mail and telephone. I guess that part of it can work. I personally don't like it, but maybe that's because I am 50 years of age and have never been brought up with it.'

The importance of communication and interaction to the managerial task is widely acknowledged (McKinnon & Bruns, 1993, Preston, 1986:1991, Adam and Murphy, 1995, Mitzberg, 1972:1973:1994, Krietner & Kinicki, 1995, Carlson & Davis, 1999, Daft & Lengel, 1986) with Mitzberg (1973) reporting that managers spend approximately 75% of their time communicating. Preston (1991)

claimed that interactions were an integral part of the informing process as they provide managers with an important source of meaning. The executives in this study regarded 'interaction' as the most important mechanism of informing. They maintained and constructed a group of contacts, which were defined as 'unofficial' and 'emergent' 'official'. networks. In another study Adam & Murphy (1995) referred to these networks as links. institutional and emergent The executives at SAAS established and maintained these official, unofficial and emergent networks through 'e-mail', 'face to face', 'telephone' and 'meetings'.

'Official networks' both internal and external were those which existed as a result of the formal or official lines of authority and/or where the organization had explicitly targeted and allocated responsibility to an executive for managing the relationship between the organization and an external party.

John: 'I keep contact with the rest of the medical profession to keep my medical contacts up. I do that by working there, I do that by attending conferences, I do that by teaching courses '.

Consistent with Preston's (1986:1991) and Mitzberg's (1994) findings, interaction was not limited to the official lines of authority. Executives actively constructed and maintained a series of informal or 'unofficial networks'. Executives considered the internal. informal contacts important as they allowed them to keep up with the latest gossip in the organization. They also considered the informal contacts external to the organization as important for keeping abreast of current business practices and environmental trends. David for example would maintain an informal virtual network with people from all around the world through Internet discussion groups and e-mail.

Often ignored by the MIS and management literature was what the executive's at SAAS described as 'emerging networks'. These emergent networks involved unexpected encounters with others and provided an important source of informing.



Michael: 'The other day I was sitting next to the head of the emergency services in Queensland. That's the kind of guy you want to get to know, and now I keep contact with him.'

**Observation – watching, listening to radio communications, site visits, attending meetings.** James: 'Observation is seeing, hearing and feeling. In this organization for instance it may involve listening in on the twoway radio which you have in your vehicle. It is amazing what things that may come to you listening to that.'

Consistent with Preston (1986), the executives at SAAS expressed interest in seeing what is happening in the organization for themselves through observation. Observation was considered intuitive and important to gaining understanding of their environment.

David: 'I take a lot from going to clinical meetings and visiting stations when the person who is responsible for that area is there as well... I look hard at that and see if people are uncomfortable, then I will make a mental note and follow that up and see whether what I saw was correct and if there is some assistance required'.

Management Reporting Systems (MRS) – CBIS and Non – CBIS. For the executives 'CBIS reporting' included financial reporting and ambulance cover reporting. Consistent with Preston (1986:1991), Mutch (1997) and Mitzberg (1994) the CBIS did not play a dominant role in the informing process. Executives felt that CBIS reporting lacked accuracy and timeliness, was too detailed, and essentially told them what they knew. For them the CBIS report was mostly a checking and a feedback mechanism.

John: 'To maintain the level of performance is more than simply monitoring performance and correcting, its being one step ahead of that. It's using the reports to make sure that things aren't getting out of control. But that should only be confirming what you have already done... They are just confirming that it has worked, and sometimes it confirms that it didn't work. But to rely on that alone you would be totally reactive to the business rather than pro actively taking it

, للاستشارات

forward... The important thing is to make sure that the problem doesn't occur in the first place.'

'Non-CBIS reporting' included market research surveys, paramedic audits, staff attitudinal surveys, relationship surveys, discussion papers, executive distribution papers and operational indicators.

Decision Support Systems (DSS). DSS took the form of Computer-Based Decision Support (CBDS) and non-CBDS. 'CBDS' involved the use of spreadsheets and resource allocation tools to assist in modeling, forecasting and resource deployment. According to the executives however CBDS was not a significant informing mechanism. CBDS tended to be limited to repetitive financial and operational problems. 'Non CBDS' took the form of traditional decision support techniques such manual modeling and brain mapping.

John: 'I often draw diagrams for myself. I sometimes use the old fishbone thing where I do the for's and against... But I guess it would be wrong to say that we do it for every decision we make.'

Generally prepared external information. Externally prepared general information consisted of general information that had been prepared by an external body to the organization, and was aimed at a more general audience. Media included media broadcasts and publications, reference and research journals, business magazines, government gazettes, personal reading. educational texts, conferences, and public presentations. McKinnon and Bruns (1993) termed this the 'personal or supervised collection of externally generated environment or economic information'. These mechanisms were not problem specific or SAAS specific, but provided an important source of ideas, learning, opinions and trend monitoring for the executives.

**Personal Information Repositories** (**PIS**). Executives referred to personally constructed and maintained information repositories. These included both personal paper and personal electronic files. Personal records did not represent a significant source of informing, and were predominantly maintained as insurance against future investigation, or as a reference to a previous issue.

Michael: '90 % of the documents that I keep do not get used. I keep documents but I rarely refer back to them. I have a tendency to keep them for the sake of it.'

However in comparison to the official, corporate wide system executives discussed how they were more familiar with their own personal repositories, and hence still used them to store files they perceived as important or they frequently needed, rather than storing these files in the official, corporate wide system.

**Corporate Information Repositories** (CIR). CIR included the 'corporate intranet', the 'LAN' and the 'government mandated records management system'. The executives regarded the CIR of somewhat limited importance, not user friendly and difficult to search for information. The primary justification for maintaining the CIR was to comply with government mandates that requires records to be kept for historical, accountability and legal purposes.

Paul: ' every so often we archive ambulance cover memberships. I don't think I would ever go back and get that information. But it is part of the governments requirement for freedom of information.'

John: 'it is not very friendly, it's not very good. Which is why we have so many people who keep their own personal systems'.

#### DISCUSSION

The official system vs. the social system. These informing mechanisms can be divided into the official and social systems of informing. The social system is the component of the organization where the systems of informing are socially constructed by the organization's participants (Colebatch, 1999). It serves a fundamental role in the overall informing process (Preston, 1986:1991) and should be facilitated and nurtured as a part of

the organization's overall information environment rather than formalized, as often prescribed in MIS literature. In this study the social order consisted of informal interaction, observation, informal DSSs, externally prepared general information and personal information repositories.

The official system is the component of the organization, which attempts to formally control and co-ordinate the flow of information (Colebatch, 1999). These systems are typically the focus of MIS, and are designed with the belief that command and control is the most efficient means to manage the organization's (Preston, 1986). information For the executives at SAAS the official system consisted of formal interaction, management reporting systems, computer based decision support and corporate information repositories. Whilst the executives acknowledge there was a role for the formal, official systems in managing some aspects of the organization's information, they considered the social system as the dominant and most important informing system.

James: 'Clearly if I wanted to sit down for the next twelve months and just sit here reading reports and making decisions then I could do that. But ultimately the systems would break down if I did that. The communication channels would break down and it would eventually get reflected in the performance reports. But it would be too late. I would be reacting rather than being pro active.'

Of particular interest in this study was the executives' attitudes towards technology. Technology was seen by the executives as a tool for automating routine/repetitive information related tasks, as a communications tool, as a personal productivity tool and as a form of portable office. Whilst the executives recognized some important applications of technology, they rejected the idea of a virtual organization, as it removed the opportunity for interaction and socialization.

John: 'I think information technology is an integral part of the process as pen and paper was in previous ages... But I don't think it is the answer to everything.'



Paul: 'We are trying to use technology for us rather than letting the technology drive us. There is a fine line between doing it because you can and doing because you should.'

**Other Studies.** This study provided significant support and extension to Preston's (1986:1991) ethnographic study. In particular this study found evidence of the 'process of informing' and use of informing mechanisms or 'modes of informing' in a different research context to Preston's (1986:1991) study; it refuted the rationalist and functionalist view of users and organizations; and it highlights the importance of interaction, observation and the social order in the informing.

The 'modes of informing' used by the executives at SAAS were somewhat different from those described by Preston (1991). In contrast to Preston's (1986:1991) study, this study considered meetings a medium of interaction, rather than a separate informing found significant mechanism; use of information technology as a communications and filing tool; found the use of corporate information repositories, externally prepared general information and decision support systems; and grouped CBIS under a broader theme of management reporting systems to include non-CBIS reporting. However, these differences may be explained by advances in the general use of technology, the use of strategic rather than operational managers, by differences between the research settings and differences in the research design.

Preston (1986:1991) found that the process of informing was largely influenced by the manager's overall definition of the problem, and non-problematic situations were primarily related to the sense-making process. This study found that the non-problematic situations were not just related to the sensemaking process, but also executives' need to keep on top of future strategies, and the executives' need to manage social relations with others.

**Implications for MIS Research and Practice.** The findings in this study are specific to this research context and research project. Hence they are not generalizable in the traditional sense. However, the findings can be

لاستشارات

considered generally useful to the wider business and academic community.

One of the significant findings in this study was that of confirming that the concept of 'informing' introduced by Preston (1986:1991) provides a useful frame of reference for understanding the information behavior of the executives at SAAS. The process of informing takes a holistic view of how managers obtain information and inform others. This view allows the researcher to identify the broad spectrum of informing mechanisms, and to compare and contrast the various informing mechanisms as they are experienced by the managers. The concept also provides a useful focus for researchers who seek to understand the relationship between the socially constructed order and the officially controlled order. For practitioners the concept of informing provides a useful perspective to understand the nature of particular sub systems within the bigger picture of informing.

This study also supports Preston (1985:1986) (1986:1991)Boland and Walsham (1993) emphasizing in the importance of interaction and the social order in the process of informing. In particular it provides some support for Boland's (1979:1985:1986:1997) philosophical work based on the symbolic interactionism view. With this view technology is not a strategic object, it is an artefact whose use is influenced by a complex web of social, cultural and political forces. Information is not an object or a resource, it is the expression of meaning. Data does not produce some objective reality, it presents a point of view that may or may not have meaning. Information processing and formal decision premises do not guide action, it is understanding that guides action, and it is through interaction that understanding is realized. Finally with this view an information system is not a formal collection of tangible components and structured processes. Rather it becomes a complex interpretive system, with a socially constructed boundary that may or may not convey meaning about a particular aspect of organizational life.

This study also questions the view that command and control is the most efficient means for managing the organization's information, and highlights the role of the organizational context, as a central element in framing the organizations overall social, political and behavioral environment in which the informing process occurs. With this view, rather than formally modeling the organization's information environment and developing formal, command and control based mechanisms managing for the organization's information, organizations such as SAAS should seek to facilitate and nurture its overall social, cultural, behavioral and political environment to encourage the types of social interaction and communication it desires. We do not propose that SAAS and other similar organizations should abolish formal systems, and information systems departments. However, this study does question the extent to which formal systems can effectively convey meaning about a full range of complex and dynamic business phenomena. It suggests that SAAS and other organizations should seek to design and integrate its formal systems around the organization's overall social, cultural, behavioral. political and structural environment, in which the informing process occurs.

## CONCLUSION

أسل المستشارات

An analysis of the MIS literature suggests that the technological-imperative has hindered MIS in understanding the true nature of information behavior as experienced by 'real' managers in the organizational context. Qualitative studies such as Preston's and this study provide a useful perspective for researchers who seek to understand the broad spectrum of mechanisms used by managers to become informed about events in the organization, and its environment

The core category that emerged in this research project is referred to as the 'process of informing'. The 'process of informing' contributed to the overall collection of knowledge, understanding, facts, information and wisdom that formed the executives' overall understanding of the environment and guided their action. The seven mechanisms or 'modes of informing' used by the executives collectively formed the organization's formally controlled official systems, and socially constructed, social systems.

The similarity between the findings in this study and other studies (particularly Preston's) indicate that it is not the formal information produced by an organizations computer-based information system that plays the dominant role in the managerial task, but rather it is the informal information that emerges through interaction and socialization, the social system. These findings have important implications for our understanding of MIS in the organizational context.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. Jim Hughes (University of Salford and University of South Australia) for his advice and guidance.

Please note that earlier version of this paper was presented at the ACIS 2000 conference. The authors also thank the participants for their useful comments.

### REFERENCES

- Adam, F., and C. Murphy, "Information Flows amongst executives: their implications for systems development", *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, vol. 4, no. 4, 1995, pp 341-355.
- Alder, P., and P. Alder, "Observational Techniques", in *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials*, ed. N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln, SAGE Publications, California, 1998.
- Bartlett and Ghoshal, "Changing the role of top management: Beyond Systems to People", *Harvard Business Review*, May-June, 1995, pp 132-142.
- Boland, R. Jr., "The information in information systems", in *Critical Issues in Information Systems Research*, ed. Boland R. Jr. and Hirschheim, John Wiley and Sons, 1987, pp 363-379.
- Boland, R. Jr., "Fantasies of Information", in Advances in Public Interest Accounting, ed. M. Neimark, B. Merino, and T. Tinker, vol. 1, JAI Press Inc., London, 1986, pp 49-64.
- Boland, R. Jr., "Phenomenology: A preferred approach to research on information systems", in *Research Methods in Information Systems*, ed. E. Mumford, R. Hirschheim, G. Fitzgerald, and T.

Wood-Harper, Elsevier Science Publishers, 1985, pp 193-201.

Boland, R. Jr., "Control, Causality and Information Systems Requirements", *Accounting*, *Organizations and Society*, vol. 4, no. 4, 1979, pp 259-272.

Burns, *Introduction to research methods*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Longman, Melbourne, 1997.

Carlson, P., and G. Davis, "An investigation of Media Selection among directors and managers: From "Self" to "Other" orientation", *MIS Quarterly*, September, 1998, pp 335-357.

Choo, "The knowing organization: How organizations use information to construct meaning, create knowledge and make decisions", *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 16, no 5, 1996, pp 329-340.

Colebatch, H., Management of Information; Beyond Information Management, SA Ambulance Service, Unpublished Report, 1999.

Curtis, G., Business Information Systems: Analysis, Design and Practice, Addison-Wesley, Wokingham, 1989.

Daft, R., and R. Lengel, "Organizational information requirements, media richness and structural design", *Management Science*, vol. 32, no. 5, 1986, pp 554-571.

Davis, Lee, Nickles, Chatterjee, Hartung & Wu, "Diagnosis of an Information System Failure: A framework and interpretive process", *Information & Management*, vol. 23, 1992, pp 293-318.

Duffy, N., and M. Assad, *Information Management: An executive approach*, Oxford University Press, Cape Town, 1980.

Fontana, A., and J. Frey, "Interviewing; the art of science", in *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials*, ed. N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln, SAGE Publications, California, 1998, pp 47-73.

Garcia, L., and F. Quek, "Qualitative Research in Information Systems: Time to be subjective?", *Information Systems and Qualitative Research*, ed A. Lee, J. Liebenaue, J. DeGross, Proceeding of the International Conference on Information Systems and Qualitative Research, Chapman & Hall, 1997.

Glaser, B., *Basics of Grounded Theory Analysis*, Sociology Press, Mill Valley, 1992.

Hughes, J., and D. Howcroft, "Grounded theory: I mentioned it once but I think I got away with it", in *Information Systems – The Next Generation*, Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> UK AIS Conference, ed.

للاستشارات

Brooks and Kimble, McGraw Hill, Maidenhead, UK, 1999.

Klein, H., and M. Myers, "A set of principles for conducting and evaluating Interpretive field studies in information systems", *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 23, no. 1, 1999.

Krietner and Kinicki, Organizational Behaviour, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Irwin, Sydney, 1995.

Lewis, P., "The decision-making basis for Information Systems: The contribution of Vickers" appreciation to a soft systems perspective", *European Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1991, pp 33-43.

McKenney, J., R. Mason, and D. Copeland, "Developing an Historical Tradition in MIS research", *MIS Quarterly*, September, 1997, pp 257-275.

McKinnon, S., and W. Jr. Bruns, "What production managers really want to know, Management Accountants are failing to tell them", *Management Accounting*, January, 1993, pp 25-35.

Miles and Huberman, *Qualitative Data Analysis*, SAGE, California, 1984.

Mitzberg, H., "Rounding out the managers job", Sloan Management Review, Fall, 1994, pp 11-26.

Mitzberg, H., "The nature of managerial work", Harper and Row, New York, 1973.

Mitzberg, H., "The myths of MIS", *Californian Management Review*, Fall, vol. 15, no. 1, 1972, pp 92-97.

Mutch, "Information Literacy: An Exploration", International Journal of Information Management, vol 17, no. 5, 1997, pp 377-386.

Neuman, L., Social Research Methods; Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Allyn and Bacon, Sydney, 1997.

Nonaka, I., and H. Takeuchi, The knowledge creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

Preston, A., "The problem in and of Management Information Systems", Accounting, Management and Information Technology, vol. 1, no 1, 1991, pp 43-69.

Preston, A., "Interactions and arrangements in the process of informing", *Accounting*, *Organizations and Society*, vol. 11, no. 6, 1986, pp 521-540.

Silver, G., and M. Silver, *Systems Analysis and Design*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1989.

Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA), 3:3, 2001. 31

Stair, R., and G. Reynolds, Principles of Information Systems; A managerial approach, Course Technology, Cambridge, USA, 1998.

Strauss, A., and J. Corbin, "Grounded Theory Methodology", in *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, ed. N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln, SAGE Publications, California, 1994, pp 273-285.

Strauss, A., *Qualitative analysis for social* scientists, Cambridge University Press, Melbourne, 1987.

Strauss, A. and B. Glaser, The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research, Aldine De Gruyter, New York, 1967.

Taylor, A., and S. Farrell, *Information Management* for Business, Aslib, London, 1995.

Walsham, G., Interpreting Information Systems in Organizations, John Wiley Series in Information Systems, John Wiley and Sons, Brisbane, 1993.

Walsham, G., "Interpretive case studies in IS research: nature and method", *European Journal* of Information Systems, vol 4, 1995a, pp 74-81.

Walsham, G., "The emergence of Interpretivism in IS research", *Information Systems Research*, vol 6, no 4, 1995b, pp 376-394.

Weber, R., *Ontological Foundations of Information Systems*, Coopers and Lybrand, Victoria, 1997, pp 54-60.

Weick, K, 1979, *The social Psychology of Organising*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Random House, New York, 1979.

#### THE AUTHORS

Heath Colebatch B.B.I.S. (Hons.) was a student at the University of South Australia's School of Accounting and Information Systems when the research was conducted. He has since taken a position as a Senior consultant for KPMG Australia. [heath.colebatch@michell.com.au]



Sam Horrocks (B. Bus, M.A.C.S.) is a Lecturer in Information Systems. She is undertaking a Masters in the area of

organisational collaborative processes. Sam teaches in the areas of Systems Analysis and Design. Sam also undertakes consulting



activities for government and industry in the area of collaboration. [Sam.horrocks@unisa.edu.au]

Jeff Smith (B.Sc. (Hons) Grad Dip. Ed., M. Acc.) is a Lecturer in Information Systems. He is undertaking a PhD in the area of information systems. Sam teaches in the areas of Database Design and Statistics. [jeff.smith@unisa.edu.au]